Towards Weakly Consistent Local Storage Systems

Ji-Yong Shin^{1,2}, Mahesh Balakrishnan², Tudor Marian³, Jakub Szefer², Hakim Weatherspoon¹

¹Cornell University, ²Yale University, ³Google

Consistency/Performance Trade-off in Distributed Systems



Server Comparison

| Year | 2006 | 2016 | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Model (4U) | Dell PowerEdge 6850 | Dell PowerEdge R930 | |
| CPU [# of cores] | 4 × 2 core Xeon [8] | 4 × 24 core Xeon [96] | 12 X |
| Memory | 64GB | 6TB | 96 X |
| Network | 2 × 1GigE | 2 × 1GigE 2 × 10GigE | 11 X |
| Storage | 8 × SCSI/SAS HDD | 24 × SAS HDE /SSD 10 x PCIe SSE | 4.2 X (175X) |

Modern Server ≈ Distributed System

Can we apply distributed system principles

to local storage systems to improve performance?

Consistency and performance trade-off

Why Consistency/Performance Trade-off?

| Distributed Systems | Modern Servers | |
|---|---|--|
| Different versions of data exist in different servers due to network delays for replication | Different versions of data exist in different storage media due to logging, caching, copy-on- write, deduplication, etc. | |
| Older versions are faster to access when the client is closer to the server | Older versions are faster to access when they are on faster storage media | |
| Reasons for different access ✓ RAM, SSD, HDD, hybrid-d ✓ Disk arm contention | speeds rives, etc. | |

SSD under garbage collection

Degraded mode in RAID

ACM Symposium on Cloud Computing

Fine-grained Log and Coarse-grained Cache

• Multiple logged objects fit in one cache block



Goal

- Speedup local storage systems using stale data (consistency/performance trade-off)
 - How should storage systems access older versions?
 - Which version should be to returned?
 - What should be the interface?
 - What are the target applications?

Rest of the Talk

• StaleStore

• Yogurt: An Instance of StaleStore

• Evaluation

Conclusion

StaleStore

- A local storage system that can trade-off consistency and performance
 - Can be in any form
 - KV-store, filesystem, block store, DB, etc.
 - Maintains multiple versions of data
 - Should have interface to access older versions
 - Can estimate cost for accessing each version
 - Aware of data locations and storage device conditions
 - Aware of consistency semantics
 - Ordered writes and notion of timestamps and snapshots
 - Distributed weak (client-centric) consistency semantics

StaleStore: Consistency Model

- Distributed (client-centric) consistency semantics
 Per-client, per-object guarantees for reads
 - -Bounded staleness
 - -Read-my-writes
 - Monotonic-reads:

A client reads an object that is the same or later version than the version that was last read by the same client

StaleStore: Target Applications

- Distributed applications
 - Aware of distributed consistency
 - Can deal with data staleness

- Server applications
 - Can provide per client guarantees

Rest of the Talk

• StaleStore

• Yogurt: An Instance of StaleStore

• Evaluation

Conclusion

Yogurt: A Block-Level StaleStore

- An log-structured disk array with cache [Shin et al., FAST'13] (Linux kernel module)
 - Prefer to read from non-logging disks
 - Prefer to read from the most idle disk



Yogurt: Basic APIs

- Write (Address, Data, Version #)
 - Versioned (time-stamped) Write
 - Version # constitutes snapshots
- Read (Address, Version #)
 - Versioned (time-stamped) Read
- GetCost(Address, Version #)

Cost estimation for each version

Yogurt Cost Estimation

- GetCost(Address, Version) returns an integer
- Disk vs Memory Cache
 - Cache always has lower cost
 (e.g. cache = -1, disk = positive int)
- Disk vs disk
 - Number of queued I/Os with weights
 - Queued writes have higher weight than reads

Reading blocks from Yogurt

• Monotonic-reads example

Client session Lowest Ver =

Read version [Blk 1: Ver 5]

Read block 1 **Global Timestamp** 8 Checks current timestamp: highest Ver = 1. Issues GetCost() for block 1 between versions 3 and 8 2. 8 (N queries with uniform distance) Reads the cheapest: e.g. 1(5): Read(1, 5)3. Cache Records version for block 1 4. 3 1 5 1 (4) 3 (3) 2 (4) 1 (5) 3 (5) 1(6) 2 (6) 3 (7) 2 (8)

3

Data construct on Yogurt

- High level data constructs span multiple blocks
 - Blocks should be read from a consistent snapshot
 - Later reads depend on prior reads: GetVersionRange()



Rest of the Talk

StaleStore

• Yogurt: An Instance of StaleStore

• Evaluation

Conclusion

Evaluation

- Yogurt: 3 disk setting with memory cache
- Focus on read latency while using monotonic-reads
- Clients simultaneously access servers
- Primary-backup setting



Evaluation: Block Access

- Uniform random workload
- 8 clients access one block at a time
- X-axis: # of available older versions built up during warm up



Evaluation: Key-Value Store

- YCSB Workload-A (Zipf with 50% read, 50% write)
- 16 clients access multiple blocks of key-value pairs
- KV Store "greedily" searches the cheapest using Yogurt APIs
- KV pairs can be partially updated



Conclusion

- Modern servers are similar to distributed systems
- Local storage systems can trade-off consistency and performance
 - We call them StaleStores
 - Many systems have potentials to use this feature
- Yogurt, a block level StaleStore
 - Effectively trades-off consistency and performance
 - Supports high level constructs that span multiple blocks

Thank you

Questions?

Extra slides

Fine-grained log and coarse-grained cache

• Multiple logged objects fit in one cache block



Fine-grained log and coarse-grained cache

- 8 threads reading and writing at 9:1 ratio
- KV-pairs per cache block from 2 to 16
- Allowed staleness from 0 to 15 updates (bounded staleness)



Deduplicated system with read cache

• Systems that cache deduplicated chunks



Deduplicated system with read cache

- 8 threads reading and writing at 9:1 ratio
- Deduplication ratio controlled from 30 to 90%
- Allowed staleness from 0 to 15 updates (bounded staleness)



Write cache that is slow for reads

• Griffin: disk cache over SSD for SSD lifetime



Write cache that is slow for reads

- 8 threads reading and writing at 9:1 ratio
- Data flushed from disk to SSD every 128MB to 1GB writes
- Allowed staleness from 0 to 7 updates (bounded staleness)

